

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/900,497	07/06/2001	Keith D. Allen	R-639	4128
7590 10/20/2003			EXAMINER	
DELTAGEN, INC. 1003 Hamilton Avenue Menlo Park, CA 94025			QIAN, CELINE X	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1636	
			DATE MAILED: 10/20/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	09/900,497	ALLEN, KEITH D.			
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit			
*	Celine X Qian	1636			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address					
THE REPLY FILED 08 September 2003 FAILS TO PLA Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may <u>only</u> be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applic i) a timely filed amendment whi	cation. A proper reply to a ch places the application in			
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]				
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of	-				
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv. event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dat have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extens of CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE te on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in the statutory period for reply originally set in the statutory period for reply originally set in the statutory period for the statutory peri	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 36(a) and the appropriate extension fee of the appropriate extension fee under the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in			
b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three mo earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	·				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFI	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal o				
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be					
(a) they raise new issues that would require further	`	see NOTE below);			
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b					
(c) they are not deemed to place the application i issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	erially reducing or simplifying the			
(d) they present additional claims without cancel NOTE:	ing a corresponding number of t	finally rejected claims.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejec	tion(s): 103.				
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).		eparate, timely filed amendment			
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: Se		sidered but does NOT place the			
 The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaused by the Examiner in the final rejection. 	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>12,21,23 and 25</u> .					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) approved or b) disapp	proved by the Examiner.			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)				
0. Other:		4			
		Anne-marie dalk ANNE-MARIE FALK, PH.D			

Continuation She t (PTOL-303) 09/900,497

Application No.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the proposed amendment does not overcome the 112 1st paragraph rejection set forth of the record mailed on 9/9/02 and 6/3/03. The specification discloses a transgenic knockout mouse comprising homozygous disruption of the NPY6 receptor gene and does not express the NPY6 receptor protein, wherein said mouse exhibits the phenotype of increased coordination or increased agility. The specification does not disclose any other type of disruption (including heterozygous knockout mouse) of the NPY6 receptor in a transgenic mouse would result in the same phenotype. Therefore, the specification only supports the enablement for a transgenic mouse comprising homozygous disruption of the NPY6 receptor gene, wherein the disruption results in no expression of the receptor protein. As such, it is important to recite in the claim that the transgenic mouse comprising a disruption in the endogenous NPY6 receptor gene, wherein the disruption is homozygous, no NPY6 receptor protein is expressed, and said mouse exhibits the phenotype of increased coordination or increased agility. Similarly, the method of use the mouse and method of making mouse must also indicate the disruption results no production of the NPY6 receptor protein.